

Appendix A

Tools automate computer sharing[♦]

How many economists does it take to inaccurately forecast a recession?

To answer that impertinent puzzler, you might try tapping into a Grid of computing power made up of spare cycles from sources as disparate as a university supercomputer across town, a cluster of servers in another state, and a scattering of workstations around the world. You'll also need some stray disk storage and spare networking resources to tie it all together.

Grid computing started as a response to scientific users' need to pull together large amounts of computing power to tackle complex applications. These ad hoc assemblages of distributed resources are coordinated by software that mediates different computer operating systems and manages things like scheduling and security to create sophisticated, virtual computers.

Grid computing, still generally confined to the research community, is one manifestation of utility-style data processing services made possible by the Internet. Peer-to-peer computing, which allows disparate users to dedicate portions of their computers to cooperative processing via the Internet, is a related phenomenon used mostly by consumers and businesses.

Both models harness a potentially vast amount of computing power in the form of excess, spare or dedicated system resources from the entire range of computers spread out across the Internet. The University of California at Berkeley, for example, coordinates one popular scientific example of Grid computing -- an Internet community application that uses background or downtime resources from thousands of systems, many of them home PCs, to analyze telescope data for the search for extraterrestrial intelligence (SETI) project.

A group of researchers at Monash University in Australia and the European Council For Nuclear Research (CERN) in Switzerland has proposed a scheme that has the potential to increase the reach of Grid computing by applying traditional economic models - from barter to monopoly - to manage Grid resource supply and demand.

The researchers have built a software architecture and mapped out policies for managing grid computing resources; these could also work with peer-to-peer applications, according to Rajkumar Buyya, a graduate student in the computer science department at Monash University.

[♦] This article by Ted Smalley Bowen appeared in the Technology Review News, September 12, 2001. Its content is driven by our work on economic models for grid computing. It has interesting remarks from an American Law & Diplomacy professor.

The methods could facilitate a broad range of computing services applications, said Buyya.

“They can be used in executing science, engineering, industrial, and commercial applications such as drug design, automobile design, crash simulation, aerospace modeling, high energy physics, astrophysics, earth modeling, electronic CAD, ray tracing, data mining, financial modeling, and so on,” he said.

Although peer-to-peer and grid computing are not new, there hasn't been an overarching scheme for handling the massive amount of bargaining and staging required to carry out such on-demand jobs with reliable levels of quality, and pricing to match, Buyya said.

The researchers' scheme is aiming to fill that gap, he said. “We are focusing on the use of economics as a metaphor for management of resources and scheduling in peer-to-peer and grid computing, as... a mechanism for regulating supply-and-demand for resources depending on users'... requirements.”

The researchers scheme allows consumers and computing service providers to connect and hammer out pricing and service levels. It would allow the parties to agree on one price for quick delivery of services during times of peak demand, and another for less urgent delivery, for example.

Resource brokering/sharing tools analogous to Napster will eventually handle the trade in access to computers, content, scientific and technical instruments, databases, and software, Buyya said.

“With new technologies, the users need not own expensive [computer] resources. Resource brokers [can] lease services that are necessary to meet... requirements such as deadline, spending limit, and importance of the work. Our technologies help both resource consumers and providers to manage the whole scenario automatically,” he said.

In a grid computing scheme, consumers usually enlist brokers to procure computing resources for a given project. Grid service providers make their systems available by running specialized applications and resource trading services. A grid market directory links brokers and providers.

The researchers' grid architecture goes a step further, using standard economic pricing models, such as commodity market, posted price, bargaining, tendering and auctions, to hash out the terms of broker-provider deals.

The researchers' tools, Nimrod-G Computational Resource Broker, DataGrid broker, Grid Trading Services, Grid Market Directory, and Grid Bank, work with existing grid middleware like the Globus toolkit.

The researchers have tested the tools on the World Wide Grid (WWG), a global network testbed of different types of computers including PCs, workstations and servers.

Two types of tests simulated brokering, scheduling and execution computing jobs, and emphasized speed and cost, respectively. The tests used a commodity market pricing, or fixed-price model. One application scheduled computations needed for a drug design application that screened molecules, he said.

The researchers used Nimrod-G to aggregate the systems resources as they were needed. "The resource broker automatically leases necessary resources competitively, depending on the [users'] requirements, such as deadline and budget constraints," Buyya said.

Using a more common systems-centric approach would make it more difficult to provide service levels that can vary from user to user and application to application, depending on the importance of the problem at the time of execution, he said.

As the tools get established, they could be deployed for use in production systems such as Australian Partnership for Advanced Computing (APAC) and Victorian Partnership for Advance Computing (VPAC) resources for routine use, said Buyya. "Depending on market forces, we believe that it will take two or three years for widespread use of economic models for Grid and [peer-to-peer] computing," said Buyya.

The researchers plan next to test the methods' scalability, improve scheduling algorithms, and update the Nimrod-G broker software to handle more sophisticated task allocation and management, Buyya said.

The study makes a good start at hashing out ways in which disparate computing resources can be made available and consumed, according to Lee McKnight, a professor at Tufts University's Fletcher School of Law & Diplomacy.

The researchers' contribution is "imagining and testing a standards or protocol-based framework through which computing resources may be accessed or shared on the basis of one of a variety of different models for brokering or trading resources," he said.

But the way the researchers used the models is artificially limited to narrowly defined grid computing resources and doesn't address networked computing services like application hosting and bandwidth brokering, and quality controls like service level agreements, said McKnight.

The work "is but one element of a yet-to-be defined economic model of pervasive computing and communications environments," he said. "The 'data economy' as the authors call it will ultimately include both [peer-to-peer and] a variety of other interaction and resource access modes."

Buyya's research colleagues were Jonathan Giddy and David Abramson of Monash University and Heinz Stockinger of CERN.

The work was funded by the Australian Government, Monash University, Cooperative Research Center (CRC) for the Enterprise Distributed Systems Technology (DSTC), and the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) Computer Society. Heinz Stokinger's work was funded by CERN and the European Union.

The researchers are scheduled to present their work at the International Society for Optical Engineering (SPIE) International Symposium on The Convergence of Information Technologies and Communications (ITCom 2001) in Denver, August 20-24, 2001.

Appendix B

Toolset teams computers to design drugs[♦]

Computational grids provide the raw material for assembling temporary, virtual computers from sometimes far-flung resources connected to the Internet or private networks. They came about because researchers often require processing power, storage, and bandwidth far beyond the scope of their own systems.

This type of distributed computing, which can also include scientific instruments, makes the means to tackle complex applications available on an ad hoc basis, and allows researchers to draw on widely-dispersed stores of information.

The molecular modeling programs used to design drugs are especially data-hungry and computationally intensive applications. Designing a drug involves screening massive databases of molecules to identify pairs that can be combined, and figuring out the best way to combine them to achieve a certain affect. The molecules could be enzymes, protein receptors, DNA, or the drugs designed to act on them.

During this molecular docking process, researchers try to match the generally small molecules of prospective drugs with the larger biological molecules they are designed to affect, such as proteins or DNA. These searches can entail sifting through millions of files that contain three-dimensional representations of the molecules.

A group of researchers in Australia has put together a set of software tools to perform molecular docking over a computational grid. The tools tap into remote databases of chemical structures in order to carry out the molecular matching process.

Grid computing software finds and accesses resources from networked computers that can be physically located almost anywhere. It coordinates scheduling and security among systems that may be running different operating systems, to combine, for example, the processing capabilities of half a dozen Unix servers and a supercomputer with databases stored in a collection of disk drives connected to yet another computer.

The researchers adapted a molecular docking program to work on a grid configuration by having it run several copies of a molecular matching program on different systems or portions of systems. The software performed many computations at once on different subsets of the data, then combined the results. This type of parallel processing, also known as a parameter sweep, enabled the grid application to work through the

[♦] This article by Ted Smalley Bowen appeared in the Technology Review News, September 12, 2001. Its content is driven by our work on virtual laboratory for drug design on Grid. It has interesting remarks on our work from American researchers.

matching process more quickly.

The complexity of each molecule record and the scale of the database searches involved in molecular docking put such applications beyond the reach of most labs' conventional computing resources, according to Rajkumar Buyya, a research scientist at Monash University in Australia. "Screening each compound, depending on structural complexity, can take hours on a standard PC, which means screening all compounds in a single database can take years."

Even on a supercomputer, "large-scale exploration is still limited by the availability of processing power," he said.

Using a computational grid, however, researchers could feed extensive computing jobs to a coordinated mix of PCs, workstations, multiprocessor systems and supercomputers, in order to crunch the numbers simultaneously.

A drug design problem that requires screening 180,000 compounds at three hours each would take a single PC about 61 years to process, and would tie-up a typical 64-node supercomputer for about a year, according to Buyya. "The problem can be solved with a large scale grid of hundreds of supercomputers in a day," he said.

To run the docking application on a computational grid, the researchers developed a program to index chemical databases, and software for accessing the chemical databases.

To speed the scheme, the researchers replicated the chemical database so that more requests for database information could be processed at once. To further speed the process, the researchers wrote a database server program that allowed computers to field more than one database query at a time.

The researcher's scheme compensates for the uneven bandwidth, processing speeds, and available resources among grid-linked systems by mapping the location of files and selecting the optimal computer to query, according to Buyya. "The data broker assists in the discovery and selection of a suitable source... depending on... availability, network proximity, load, and the access price," he said.

Because the performance of database applications suffers over network connections, the researchers generated indices for each chemical database, including references to each record's size.

This allowed each computer to respond to queries by first checking the index file for the record's size and location and then accessing the record directly from the database file, rather than sequentially sifting through the database, said Buyya.

The application requirements and the tools used to meet them are specific to molecular docking, but similar software would speed compute-intensive tasks like high-energy physics calculations and risk analysis, according to Buyya.

The researchers tested the scheduling portion of their scheme on the World Wide Grid test-bed of systems in Australia, Japan and the US, and successfully estimated the time and cost required to run the applications in configurations optimized for speed and for budget, Buyya said.

Using the test bed, they screened files of 200 candidate molecules for docking with the target enzyme endothelin-converting enzyme (ECE), which is associated with low blood pressure.

The researchers' use of grid computing tools to automate molecular docking is "an excellent application of grid computing," said Julie Mitchell, an assistant principal research scientist at the San Diego Supercomputer Center. Features like "deadline- and budget-constrained scheduling should make the software very attractive to pharmaceutical companies" and to companies interested in such computationally demanding applications as risk analysis, scientific visualization and complex modeling said Mitchell. "There's nothing specific to molecular biology in their tools, and I imagine they could be applied quite readily in other areas."

The researchers also handled the process management aspects of adapting the applications to grids well, she added.

"The [researchers'] approach is obviously the way to go for those type of applications on the Computational Grid," said Henri Casanova, a research scientist in the computer science and engineering department of the University of California at San Diego. "The notion of providing remote access to small portions of domain-specific databases is clearly a good idea and fits the molecular docking applications," he said.

The economic concepts underlying the scheduling and costing of grid applications application are still immature, Casanova added. "The results concerning application execution are based on a Grid economy model and policies that are not yet in place. There are only vague notions of "Grid credit unit" in the community and the authors of the paper assume some arbitrary charging scheme for their experiments. This is an interesting avenue of research, but...there is very little in terms of Grid economy that is in place at the moment," he said.

The data access and computation techniques are technically ready to be used in practical applications today, according to Buyya.

Buyya's research colleagues were Jon Giddy, and David Abramson of Monash University in Australia and Kim Branson of the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute, in Australia. The research was funded by the Australian Cooperative Research Center for Enterprise Distributed Systems Technology (EDST), Monash University, the Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, the IEEE Computer Society, and Advanced Micro Devices Corp.